

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/623,771	07/22/2003	Atsuki Kasashima	Q76636	6108
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 07/02/2007	•	EXAMINER	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			TRIMIEW, RAEANN	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20037	·	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3711	
·	,			
	•	·	•	_
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Y	γ			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Community	10/623,771	KASASHIMA, ATSUKI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Raeann Trimiew	3711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence a	nddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed in the mailing date of this ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 A	pril 2007					
	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under E	nce except for formal matters, pr		ne merits is			
Disposition of Claims	- pane quejie, rece c.b ,	00 0.0. 2.0.				
·	nlication					
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 2-4 and 8-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10,14,15,17,20,22 and 24</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-4,1-1-13,16 and 25</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>8,18,19,21 and 23</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ol	ojected to. See 37 (CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form F	PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receiv	ed.				
Attachment(s)	_					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:					

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Maruko et al (6,217,462). Claims 3-4, Maruko discloses a golf ball comprising a plurality of linear extending ridges on a surface of the golf ball, which are separate from each other. The ridges are grouped to form polygonal cavities (fig. 2). The ridges are separate from each other and form gaps between the ridges.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 9, 11-13, 16, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maruko et al (6,217,462). Claims 11-12, Maruko discloses a golf ball comprising a plurality of linear extending ridges on a surface of the golf ball, which are separate from each other. The length of the ridges is from 3 to 15 mm. Claim 13, the

ridges have different lengths (fig. 5). Claims 9, the top of the ridges have a trapezoidal (square) top. Claim 2, the ridges form a cavity. Claim 25, the ridges have an I shape. Claim 16, the height of the lands is at least 0.09 mm and the width is from 0.3 to 2.5 mm. One of ordinary skill in the art would vary the length of the ridges for optimal performance.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8, 18, 19, 21, 23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 10, 14, 15, 17, 20, 22, 24 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raeann Trimiew whose telephone number is 571-272-4409. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9-5.

Art Unit: 3711

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eugene Kim can be reached on 571-272-4463. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Raeann Trimiew/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3711

June 23, 2007